arXiv:1401.2726v1 [math.GT] 13 Jan 2014

Boundary slopes of some non-Montesinos knots

Joshua Howie
University of Melbourne

January 14, 2014

It is shown that there exist alternating non-Montesinos knots whose essential spanning surfaces with maximal and minimal boundary slopes are not realised by the checkerboard surfaces coming from a reduced alternating planar diagram.

Slope Diameter

The boundary slope diameter of a knot K is

$$d(K) = \max\{|s - s'| : s, s' \in \mathcal{B}(K) \setminus \{\infty\}\},$$

where $\mathcal{B}(K)$ is the finite set of boundary slopes for K. Let $c(K)$ denote the crossing number of K.

Theorem 1 (Ichihara-Mizushima [8]) *If K is a Montesinos knot, then*

$$d(K) \leq 2c(K),$$

with equality if K is alternating and Montesinos.

This theorem was proved for the case of two-bridge knots in [10].

A spanning surface for a knot K is a surface Σ embedded in S^3 such that $\partial\Sigma = K$. Let X denote the knot exterior, this is the closure of $S^3 \setminus N(K)$. The slope of a spanning surface Σ is the slope of the surface $\Sigma \cap X$ on ∂X.

Let $\mathcal{S}(K)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{B}(K)$ that consists of boundary slopes that are realised by spanning surfaces. Let $d_{\mathcal{S}}(K)$ be the diameter of $\mathcal{S}(K)$. Note that $\mathcal{S}(K) \subset 2\mathbb{Z}$, whereas $\mathcal{B}(K) \subset \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Theorem 2 (Curtis-Taylor [3]) *If K is an alternating knot, then*

$$d_{\mathcal{S}}(K) = 2c(K).$$

It is the purpose of this note to provide a counterexample to Theorem 2.

The proof given in [3, page 1350] contains a mistake, where a result of Adams and Kindred [1] has been incorrectly stated. Adams and Kindred showed that given an essential spanning surface Σ for an alternating knot K, then a spanning surface Σ' can be obtained from a basic layered surface S for K, by adding some

number of handles or crosscaps to S, such that Σ' has the same orientability, slope and genus as Σ.

Curtis and Taylor have quoted this result as: given an essential spanning surface Σ for an alternating knot K, then there exists a basic layered surface S which has the same orientability, slope and genus as Σ. This is not true because adding a crosscap changes the slope by ± 2, so it is possible to obtain spanning surfaces for a knot K whose slopes lie outside the range of slopes of basic layered surfaces. In particular, there may exist essential spanning surfaces for an alternating knot which has a slope bigger or smaller than both the checkerboard surfaces associated to a reduced, alternating diagram. We will show that such surfaces can exist for non-Montesinos knots.

Generalised Alternating Knots

As introduced by Hayashi [5] and Ozawa [11], a knot K is generalised alternating if it has a projection onto a closed orientable embedded surface F,

$$\pi : F \times I \to F,$$

where $K \subset F \times I \subset S^3$ such that:

1. $\pi(K)$ is alternating on F, and
2. $\pi(K)$ is prime.

A knot projection on to a surface F is prime if given any loop $l \subset F$, such that $|l \cap \pi(K)| = 2$, then l bounds a disk $D \subset F$, such that D contains only a single embedded arc of $\pi(K)$. This definition in equivalent to the usual definition of prime for a diagram on S^2, however in the case of a higher genus projection surface F, there exist loops $l \subset F$ that do not bound disks.

Generalised alternating implies that the regions of $F \backslash \pi(K)$ are disks and that any essential loop on F meets $\pi(K)$ at least four times. K bounds checkerboard surfaces relative to its projection on F, and at least one of these surfaces is non-orientable.

Theorem 3 (Ozawa [11]) *Let $\pi(K)$ be a generalised alternating projection of a knot K onto a closed orientable surface F. Then both the checkerboard surfaces relative to F are essential in X.*

The essentiality of checkerboard surfaces is proved for a larger class of alternating surface projections in [7], which includes all generalised alternating projections.

A method for enumerating generalised alternating projections onto the torus was developed in [6]. That paper also shows how to construct many examples of generalised alternating projections onto higher genus surfaces.

Counterexamples

The following examples of generalised alternating projections were found using the methods of [6]. The easiest way to check that they are generalised alternating is to check that all regions of $F \setminus \pi(K)$ are disks, and for each black region, that all of its adjacent white regions are distinct.



Figure 1: Generalised alternating projection of 8_{17}.

Let $K = 8_{17} = 8a_{14}$. In [4], it was stated that by work of Kabaya [9],

$$\mathcal{B}(K) = \{-14, -8, -6, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 14, \infty\},$$

so clearly $d(K) > 2c(K) = 16$. We will show that in fact $d_{\mathcal{S}}(K) = 28 > 2c(K)$.

Figure 1 shows a generalised alternating projection of K. In it the black surface has slope $+14$ and the white surface has slope -8. A method for calculating the slopes of spanning surfaces is outlined in [1]. The black surface is non-orientable and has Euler charcteristic -8.

There is a unique reduced alternating diagram of 8_{17} on S^2. The two checkerboard surfaces have slopes $+8$ and -8. Each surface has Euler characteristic

-3 and is non-orientable. If we take the planar checkerboard surface with slope $+8$ as our basic layered surface, add three crosscaps in the appropriate way, and add one handle, then we obtain a spanning surface which is non-orientable, has slope $+14$ and Euler charcteristic -8, demonstrating that this example does not contradict [1].

If we reflect the given toroidal projection of 8_{17} in the plane, we obtain a different projection of 8_{17}. This projection is also generalised alternating on a torus. It has checkerboard surfaces with slopes -14 and $+8$. Note that 8_{17} is amphichiral. Therefore $d_{\mathcal{S}}(K) = 28$ since both the slopes -14 and $+14$ are realised by essential spanning surfaces.

In [6], it is shown that if a knot has diameter 28, then any generalised alternating projection must be onto a surface of genus at most 2. It is then natural to ask if there is a generalised alternating projection of 8_{17} onto the torus or double torus that has checkerboard surfaces with slopes $+14$ and -14?



Figure 2: Generalised alternating projection of $12a_{603}$.

Figure 2 shows a projection of the knot $12a_{603}$ which is generalised alternating with 13 crossings on the double torus. Therefore the checkerboard surfaces are distance 26 apart, yet $2c(K) = 24$. The black surface has slope -10 and the white surface has slope $+16$. This gives a second counterexample to Theorem 2. The slopes of the planar checkerboard surfaces for $12a_{603}$ are -10 and $+14$. Adding one crosscap and two handles to the planar checkerboard surface with slope $+14$ produces a spanning surface with the same slope, Euler characteristic and orientability as the white surface pictured.



Figure 3: Generalised alternating projection of 10_{161}.

We give one final example, this time of a non-alternating, non-Montesinos knot. Figure 3 shows the knot $K = 10_{161} = 10n_{31}$. We will show that $d_{\mathcal{S}}(K) \geq 22 > 2c(K)$. Every knot K has a Newton polygon N_K coming from the A-polynomial. This gives a list of visible boundary slopes for K. For $K = 10_{161}$, see Culler [2], N_K has 16 sides, which give five slopes,

$$\mathcal{B}(K) \supseteq \{-20, -18, -9, -8, 2\}.$$

Of course 0 is also a slope for K.

The black surface has slope $+2$ and the white surface has slope -20. Therefore the diameter of $\mathcal{S}(K)$ is at least 22, whereas its crossing number is only 10.

Together these three examples establish:

Theorem 4 *There exist non-Montesinos knots, both alternating and non-alternating, such that*

$$d_{\mathcal{S}}(K) > 2c(K).$$

References

[1] Colin Adams and Thomas Kindred. A classification of spanning surfaces for alternating links. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(5):2967–3007, 2013.

[2] Marc Culler. A-polynomials. `http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~culler/Apolynomials/`.

[3] Cynthia L. Curtis and Samuel J. Taylor. The Jones polynomial and boundary slopes of alternating knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 20(10):1345–1354, 2011.

[4] Stavros Garoufalidis. The Jones slopes of a knot. *Quantum Topol.*, 2(1):43–69, 2011.

[5] Chuichiro Hayashi. Links with alternating diagrams on closed surfaces of positive genus. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 117(1):113–128, 1995.

[6] Joshua Howie. The structure of generalised alternating link projections. *in preparation.*

[7] Joshua Howie and J. Hyam Rubinstein. *in preparation.*

[8] Kazuhiro Ichihara and Shigeru Mizushima. Crossing number and diameter of boundary slope set of Montesinos knot. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 16(3):565–589, 2008.

[9] Yuichi Kabaya. A method to find ideal points from ideal triangulations. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 19(4):509–524, 2010.

[10] Thomas W. Mattman, Gabriel Maybrun, and Kristin Robinson. 2-bridge knot boundary slopes: diameter and genus. *Osaka J. Math.*, 45(2):471–489, 2008.

[11] Makoto Ozawa. Non-triviality of generalized alternating knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 15(3):351–360, 2006.

Email: j.howie@student.unimelb.edu.au